
From: Parry, Roberta
To: Henning, Alan; Rueda, Helen; Flahive, Katie
Sent: 8/22/2014 12:28:56 PM
Subject: RE: Draft Ag issues paper for Management consideration
Attachments: Ag Management Briefing_081914 RParry comments.docx

Alan,

Thanks for sharing. I've provided a few comments on the draft ag issue paper, but mostly asked questions. Probably a few of them just reflect my ignorance.

It is probably beyond the scope, but it would be nice, to the extent that you can, to consider WQ impacts in the pros/cons.

It isn't clear to me from the pros and cons why Option C is preferred over Option B. What do you see as the effective difference in terms of WQ?

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

Roberta

Roberta Parry

U.S. EPA - Office of Water
4503T
1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20460

202-564-0508

From: Henning, Alan
Sent: Tuesday, August 19, 2014 5:54 PM
To: Rueda, Helen; Flahive, Katie; Parry, Roberta
Cc: Henning, Alan
Subject: Draft Ag issues paper for Management consideration

Helen, Katie and Roberta,

Attached is the Draft Ag issues paper for management consideration. The document sets out options for the course of action to take on Ag in this CZARA decision. This document does not get into the details of the comments we received. That work will be done when we develop our responses to comments documents. This just proposes options for us to take in addressing Ag at the 1000 foot level. I suspect you will have some questions on this so please feel free to give me a call.

I hope everyone is having a great summer.

Alan
541-687-7360